

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,554	05/04/2001	Hideki Sasaki	P107424-0002	7179
	590 08/09/2002			
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, PLLC 1233 20th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
Suite 501		PIANALTO, BERNARD D		
Washington, De	C 20036-5339			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	a
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	t

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Amalia di anni	B			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/830,554	SASAKI, HIDEKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bernard D Pianalto	1762			
Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
I HE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to report of the control of t	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, seived by the Office later than three months after the mailing the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>uly 2002</u> .				
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)☐ Sind clos	ce this application is in condition for allowa ed in accordance with the practice under a	nce except for formal matters, pi Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of	Claims					
	$n(s)$ $\frac{1-10}{s}$ is/are pending in the application					
	f the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
	n(s) is/are allowed.					
	n(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	n(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Pa	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	pecification is objected to by the Examiner					
	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept		ninor			
	icant may not request that any objection to the					
11) ☐ The pr	oposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner			
	proved, corrected drawings are required in rep		Tod by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,,			
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	_			
	ledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) 🔲 Ti	ne translation of the foreign language prov vledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	ived.			
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) dsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal D	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mori et al.

This reference discloses in col. 2, lines 50-60, col. 10, lines 9-65, col. 12, lines 35-45 and claim 4 a method of making a magnetic recording device comprising providing a substrate, applying a non-magnetic layer to the support and drying. The magnetic layer is applied using a wire bar coating device. It is the examiner's opinion that applicants' process is anticipated by the reference process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al for the same reasons as urged in the above paragraph. It is the examiner's opinion that the limitations of these dependent claims are conventional and do not render these claims unobvious. See Morishita et al., col. 28, lines 10-15 and col. 29, lines 1-45 for

Art Unit: 1762

wire bar coating thickness of .01 to 10 microns and application of a coating to a cured under-layer to prevent solvent damage to the under-layer.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al in view of Morishita et al for the same reasons as urged above. It is the examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to cure the dried under-layer of the primary reference as suggested by the secondary reference in order to prevent solvent damage to the under-layer at the time of application of a top layer. The limitations of the dependent claims are conventional and do not render these claims unobvious for the same reasons as urged in the above paragraph.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7-29-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of the new art rejections.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1762

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 703 308 2332. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-6:00 Mon-Wed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 5665.

9 August 7, 2002

Art Unit: 1762

BERNARD PIANALTO PRIMARY EXAMINER